Amdt. Dated: Ocotber 30, 2008

Reply to Office action of October 08, 2008

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1-18 are currently pending in the above-captioned application. The Examiner issued a restriction requirement in the Office Action in which it is alleged that there are four separate and distinct inventions claimed in the present application.

In response, Applicants elect the group 1 of the invention, with claims 1-14 with traverse. In addition, Applicants were required to elect a single species for the elected invention. In response, Applicants elect compound 2 with traverse. This compound is described in Example 2 (page 27 line 18 to page 30 line 15). The chemical structure is given at page 27 line 20 following, and is reproduced here for convenience:

Compound 2 corresponds to a compound of Formula I of present claim 1, wherein:

- (i)  $Z^1 = Z^2$  = the carbon atoms necessary to complete a single phenyl ring;
- (ii)  $R^3$  is sulfonic acid and  $R^4$  is H;
- (iii)  $R^5$  is sulfonic acid and  $R^6$  is H;
- (iv) R<sup>2</sup> is the group –E-F, where E is a chain of 5 linked carbon atoms (i.e. a pentylene group), and F is a target bonding group which is –CO<sub>2</sub>H;
- (v) The group from  $R^1/R^2$  which is not -E-F, i.e.  $R^1$  for Compound 2, is  $-(CH_2)_kW$ , where W is sulfonic acid and k is 4;
- (vi)  $R^{11} = R^{14} = -(CH_2)_k W$ , where W is sulfonic acid and k is 4;
- (vii)  $R^{12} = R^{13} = C_1$  alkyl, i.e. methyl.

Appl. No. 10/576,956

Amdt. Dated: Ocotber 30, 2008

Reply to Office action of October 08, 2008

Compound 2 thus corresponds to the Examiner's Group 1:

"Claims 1-14 drawn to dimeric compounds of formula (1)".

Other Claims Covering Compound 2

Claim  $2 - R^{11} = R^{14} = -(CH_2)_k W$ .

Claim 3 - one of  $R^{11}/R^{12}$  and  $R^{13}/R^{14}$  is  $-(CH_2)_kW$ , and the other of  $R^{11}/R^{12}$  plus  $R^{13}/R^{14}$  is

 $C_{1-6}$  alkyl (methyl for Compound 2):

Claim 4 -Compound 2 has W =sulfonic acid.

Claim 5 – Compound 2 has  $W = -(CH_2)_4SO_3H$ 

Claim 6 – Compound 2 has  $Z^1 = Z^2 =$  phenyl.

Claim 11 – the group for Compound 2 is  $-(CH_2)_5$ -, which corresponds to: -

p = 0, Q = -CHR'- (with R' = H), and  $(CHR')r = -(CH_2)_4$ - ie. r = 4.

Claim 12 - Compound 2 has Q = -CHR'-

Claim 13 – Compound 2 has –EF comprising a carboxypentyl group.

Claim 14 – Compound 2 is the compound named at (ii).

Applicants traverse these requirements for the reasons set forth below.

The Examiner suggests that the structural unit linking the inventions of Groups 1 to 4 is known in the prior art. The Examiner refers to page 91 of WO 02/26891 as evidence. That apparently refers to Claim 29 of WO 02/26891.

The Examiner's attention is drawn to the fact that the Compound of claim 29 of WO 02/26891 is defined such that  $R^3$  is  $-L-R_x$  or  $-L-S_c$ . Thus, the reactive group  $(R_x)$  or conjugated substance  $(S_c)$  is specifically attached at the 3-position of the indolinium ring.

In contrast, the –E-F group of the present invention is required to be attached at at least one of positions,  $R^1$  to  $R^7$ . Those positions correspond to the aryl rings ( $R^3$  to  $R^6$  of present claim 1) or the indole N atoms ( $R^1$  and  $R^2$ ) only. The definitions of  $R^{11}$  to  $R^{14}$  of

Appl. No. 10/576,956

Amdt. Dated: Ocotber 30, 2008

Reply to Office action of October 08, 2008

present claim 1 must be chosen from -(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>k</sub>W (where W= sulfonic acid or phosphonic

acid) or  $C_{1-6}$  alkyl (only).

The overlap with the prior art as suggested by the Examiner therefore does not exist.

Applicants therefore contend that the common structural unit linking the inventions of

Groups 1 to 4 is in fact novel and unobvious, and that consequently the restriction

requirement should be withdrawn.

In view of the remarks hereinabove, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 15-19

should be examined in the instant application with claims 1-14 of elected Group I.

Page 4 of 5

Appl. No. 10/576,956

Amdt. Dated: Ocotber 30, 2008

Reply to Office action of October 08, 2008

This election is made without prejudice to the ability of Applicants to file divisional applications to non-elected inventions. Additionally, Applicants will cancel non-elected claims, if applicable, when a Notice of Allowability of the elected claims is received.

Respectfully submitted,

/Craig Bohlken/\_\_\_\_ Craig Bohlken Reg. No. 52,628 Attorney for Applicants

GE Healthcare, Inc. 101 Carnegie Center Princeton, NJ 08540 Tel: (609) 514-6530

Fax: (609) 514-6572

I:\IP\Response to Restriction Requirements\PL\PL0379 (10-30-2008).doc